

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,289	07/11/2001	Peter A. Burke	3056	8411
. 75	590 02/11/2003			
JOHN LEZDEY SUITE A 1409 NORTH FT HARRISON CLEARWATER, FL 33755			EXAMINER	
			KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/903,289	BURKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
<u></u>	— s action is non-final.					
,-		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
. 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	and the second second					

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment A filed November 25, 2002 has been received, entered into the record and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

- 1. The title has been changed to read "Packaged Condoms".
- 2. Claims 13-18 have been cancelled without prejudice.
- 3. Claims 1-12 have been amended.
- 4. Pages 16-21 in the specification have been replaced with new pages to eliminate blank spaces and the terms "g.s. have been changed to "q.s.".
- 5. Remarks drawn to rejections under 35 USC 103.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopes (USPN 5,942,478) in combination with Larsen (USPN 5,958,461), Stoner (USPN 4,925,033), Myhling (USPN 5,527,534), Snyder et al (USPN 5,674,513) of record and Taylor-McCord (USPN 5,604,200) newly cited.

Art Unit: 1623

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are drawn to a package containing a condom and an antiviral composition which comprises an effective amount of microbicidal agent, a buffer to maintain the pH of the composition not greater than 5, an effective amount of wound healing and diffusion impedance compound, the composition containing a spermicidal, an acrylic acid polymer, lubricants, detergent, cellulosic binder, the composition in a gel, buffering agent, the composition in the form of a gel, wherein the gel comprises water, polyethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerin and a silicone.

Lopes teaches a microbicidal and sanitizing soap concentrate composition capable in use of dilution with pH adjustable topical diluent to form a microbicidal solution for use in skin and non-skin surfaces against infection. The concentrate compositions are in the form of a gel. The composition of Lopes consists of materials like anionic surfactants from about 0.1 to about 95% by weight based on total weight, dextrins, cellulose ethers cellulose polymers, acrylate polymers and sulfated polymers and an acidifying agent present in the concentrate composition in an amount fixing the use form pH thereof below 5. The concentrates can be diluted with suitable inert ingredients, fillers and binders (see abstract, col. 2, lines 1-67). Hyaluronic acid can also be used in the composition (see col. 8, lines 17-23).

Art Unit: 1623

However, Lopes does not teach the use of any of the microbicidal agents of the instantly claimed composition, lubricants, detergent, a spermicidal and microbicidal composition and a package comprising a condom and the composition within the package.

Larsen teaches a gelled vaginal pharmaceutical composition for the prevention and treatment of diseases and the prevention of conception (see col. 1, lines 11-17). The compositions contain antiviral agents such as dextran sulfate and other sulfated polysaccharides (see col. 7, lines 18-19) and buffering agents and nonoxynol-9 as the spermicidal agent (see col. 8 lines 19-60 and col. 10, lines 27-28).

Stoner discloses a kit that combines a condom with a microbicidal cleanser to prevent sexually transmitted diseases including AIDS (see col.3, lines 5-11). He also discloses the use of nonoxynol-9 as a spermicidal, that also acts as antimicrobial agent (see col. 1, lines 57-58).

Myhling discloses a spermicidal formulation containing nonoxynol-9, a pH adjuster, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (see Abstract; col. 15, Table 1 and col. 16, Table 2) and boric acid as an antiseptic and detergent (see col. 22, line 8. The composition is a topical contraceptive and also provides protection against sexually transmitted diseases (see col. 15, lines 15-18).

Snyder discloses anti-bacterial/anti-viral composition containing nonoxyno-9 (also known as N-9), which further includes hydrophilic polymeric binder, which also functions as a dispersant and a suspension agent. Suitable agents include modified cellulose derivatives which are non-toxic and polyethylene glycol added as an excipient, that also functions as a lubricant, plasticizer and binder (see col. 7, lines 49-52; col. 9, lines 3-9 and lines 25-27).

Art Unit: 1623

Taylor-McCord teaches the use of hyaluronic acid in a composition for the rapid scar-free wound healing. This teaching of Taylor-McCord in combination with the disclosure of Lopes suggests the use of hyaluronate as a compatible agent in the instant composition.

Applicant's arguments regarding the teachings of Lopes, Myhling, Larsen and Snyder have been considered but art not found to be persuasive.

Even though the teachings of Lopes, Myhling, Larsen and Snyder do not directly relate to a condom, their teachings in combination with that of Stoner and Taylor-McCord teach the ingredients for use in an antiviral composition.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings disclosed in the prior art cited to make a universal antiviral composition as instantly claimed, and package it with a condom, since the ingredients, method of making and using are all seen to be set forth in the prior art.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so because the combinations of the teachings in the prior art would result in a composition, which is highly effective against a wide range of pathogens and also acts as a contraceptive. This eliminates the need for an oral contraceptive. The composition is also useful for topical application for protecting skin and non-skin surfaces against infection and can also be diluted with water if needed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 703-305-4837. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1623

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

GK February 10, 2003

JAMES O. WILSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600